IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.15528 of 2002

Between:

M/s.Neuland Laboratories Ltd., Meridan Plaza, IInd Floor, Ameerpet, Hyderabad, rep.by its Senior Vice-President (Finance) and Secretary.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. M.S.Prasad, S/o.M.Ram Moorthy, 36 years, R/o.Plot No.154, Sainagar, Balanagar, Hyderabad-42.
2. The Presiding Officer, Labour Court-II, Chandra Vihar, M.J.Road, Hyderabad.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an order, direction or Writ Particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring that the Award of the 2nd respondent dated 24.04.2002 passed in I.D.No.98 of 1998 is illegal, arbitrary and unenforceable in law.

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONER: MR.KOKA SATYANARAYANA RAO (Not Appeared)

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT No.1: MR.P.SRIDHAR RAO

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT No.2: MR.VIJAYASEN REDDY

The Court made the following:

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO.15528 of 2002

ORDER:

This matter has been coming up consistently on the application in W.P.M.P.No.5734 of 2005 filed by the contesting respondent for the early posting of the Writ Petition itself 'For Hearing'. As per the endorsement, dated 18.03.2005 on the proceeding sheet, none appeared on behalf of the writ petitioner and there was no representation. Even today when the matter is called, none appears and there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for default.

_____

(B.PRAKASH RAO, J)

29th March 2005

RRB

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//True Copy//

SECTION OFFICER

Copy To:

1. The Presiding Officer, Labour Court-II, Chandra Vihar, M.J.Road, Hyderabad.
2. Two CD copies.